HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH : BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE SHRI S.R. NAYAK, CJ.

WRIT PETITION No. 3659 OF 1999

PETITIONERS

1. Mahesh Ram S/o Bohit Ram aged about 50 years, occupation village Kotwar, resident of Village Kirari, tahsil Dabhra, district Janjgir Champa
2. Hori Das S/o Shri Dhanau aged about 52 years, occupation Village Kotwar, by caste Dhobi, r/o Village Kirari, Tahsil Dabhra district Janjgir Champa.
3. Yar Singh S/o Raghunandan, aged about 32 years, occupation village Kotwar, resident of village Jawali, tahsil Dabhra, district Janjgir Champa.
4. Sukwara Bai W/o Mehattar aged about 40 years, occupation village Kotwar, resident of village Jawali, tahsil Dabhra, district Janjgir Champa.
5. Guru Prasad S/o Bullu, aged about 45 years, occupation village Kotwar, resident of village Hirapur (Gopalpur), tahsil Dabhra, district Janjgir Champa.
6. Budh Ram S/o Peela Ram, aged about 46 years, occupation village Kotwar, resident of village Thangan, tahsil Dabhra, district Janjgir Champa.
7. Damrudhar S/o Jagmohan, aged about 40 years, occupation village Kotwar, resident of village Dhaurabhata, tahsil Dabhra, district Janjgir Champa.
8. Ram Bai W/o Bhagirathi, aged about 35 years, occupation village Kotwar, resident of village Mirauni, tahsil Dabhra, district Janjgir Champa.
9. Pran Bai Wd/o Ghasi Rm aged about 47 years, occupation village Kotwar, resident of village Chawaripali, tahsil Dabhra, district Janjgir Champa.
10. Kundan Lal S/o Sadh Ram aged about 32 years, occupation village Kotwar, resident of Village

Katharrapali, tahsil Dabhra, district Janjgir Champa.

11. Budela S/o Joidha aged about 55 years, occupation village Kotwar, resident of Village Bhedikona, tahsil Dabhra, district Janjgir Champa.

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Madhya Pradesh, through Collector, Janjgir Champa
2. Sub Divisional Officer (R) Sakti (Dabhra)
3. Tahsildar, Dabhra, District Janjgir Champa.

Present : Shri V.R. Tiwari, learned counsel for the petitioners.

ORAL ORDER
(Passed on 01st May, 2006)

Learned counsel for the petitioners sought permission of the Court to withdraw the writ petition. The writ petition is, therefore, dismissed as withdrawn. No costs.

Sd/-
Chief Justice

Banjesh